Neural Basis of Visually Guided Head Movements Studied With fMRI
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Petit, Laurent, and Michael S. Beauchamp. Neural basis of visually guided head movements studied with fMRI. J Neurophysiol 89: 2516–2527, 2003. First published January 24, 2003; 10.1152/jn.00988.2002. We used event-related fMRI to measure brain activity while subjects performed saccadic eye, head, and gaze movements to visually presented targets. Two distinct patterns of response were observed. One set of areas was equally active during eye, head, and gaze movements and consisted of the superior and inferior subdivisions of the frontal eye fields, the supplementary eye field, the intraparietal sulcus, the precuneus, area MT in the lateral occipital sulcus and subcortically in basalganglia, thalamus, and the superior colliculus. These areas have been previously observed in functional imaging studies of human eye movements, suggesting that a common set of brain areas subserves both oculomotor and head movement control in humans, consistent with data from single-unit recording and microstimulation studies in nonhuman primates that have described overlapping eye- and head-movement representations in oculomotor control areas. A second set of areas was active during head and gaze movements but not during eye movements. This set of areas included the posterior part of the planum temporale and the cortex at the temporoparietal junction, known as the parieto-insular vestibular cortex (PIVC). Activity in PIVC has been observed during imaging studies of invasive vestibular stimulation, and we confirm its role in processing the vestibular cues accompanying natural head movements. Our findings demonstrate that fMRI can be used to study the neural basis of head movements and show that areas that control eye movements also control head movements. In addition, we provide the first evidence for brain activity associated with vestibular input produced by natural head movements as opposed to invasive caloric or galvanic vestibular stimulation.

INTRODUCTION

Studies of eye-head coordination suggest that oculomotor areas may control head movements as well as eye movements (reviewed in Sparks et al. 2001). Considerable information exists about the functional anatomy of eye movements in healthy humans as revealed by positron emission tomography (PET) (Anderson et al. 1994; Dorrichi et al. 1997; Fox et al. 1985a,b; Lang et al. 1994; Law et al. 1997, 1998; Nakashima et al. 1994; O’Driscoll et al. 1995; O’Sullivan et al. 1995; Paus et al. 1993; Petit et al. 1993, 1996; Sweeney et al. 1996) and blood oxygenation level dependent (BOLD) functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) (Beauchamp et al. 2001; Berman et al. 1999; Bodis-Wollner et al. 1997; Corbetta et al. 1998; Darby et al. 1996; Heide et al. 2001; Luna et al. 1998; Müri et al. 1996; Nobre et al. 2000; Petit and Haxby 1999; Petit et al. 1997). Performing eye movements leads to BOLD signal increases in a cortical network consisting of areas in the precentral sulcus (frontal eye fields, FEF), in the medial superior frontal cortex (supplementary eye fields, SEF), in the intraparietal sulcus (parietal eye fields, PEF), in the precuneus, at the junction of occipital and temporal cortex (MT/V5) as well as subcortical areas including basal ganglia, thalamus, and cerebellum.

Much less is known about the functional anatomy that underlies head movement. This is largely due to the requirement that the head of the subject remains motionless during PET and fMRI scans. A recently developed technique for fMRI studies of tasks requiring brief movements (Birn et al. 1999) prompted us to examine the possibility of studying visually guided head movements using fMRI.

A fundamental difference between eye and head movements is that cranial translation and rotation stimulates the vestibular system, which serves as an important feedback mechanism for monitoring head position in space. In addition to posture and balance, this independent head-position indicator helps maintain a given direction of gaze while the head is moving (Brandt and Dieterich 1999). Functional neuroimaging studies of cortical vestibular processing have been hindered by the requirement for a motionless head during PET and fMRI scans. To provide vestibular stimulation, invasive techniques have been used, including irrigation of the external ear with cold water, (known as caloric vestibular stimulation) and mechanical vibration of the bony mastoid (known as galvanic vestibular stimulation). Invasive vestibular stimulation has shown activations of a perisylvian core region within the vestibular system, namely the parieto-insular vestibular cortex (PIVC), as well as in the temporoparietal cortex, basal ganglia, thalamus, and anterior cingulate cortex.

In our study, we first sought to discover the network of brain areas that are active when humans make visually guided head movements. Next, we wished to examine the relationship of these head movement areas to previously described oculomotor control areas. In addition, we wished to learn if head movements activate brain areas important for vestibular processing, in particular PIVC. Finally, we wished to determine if the vestibular activations produced by natural head movements...
differ from those reported for caloric irrigation and galvanic stimulation.

METHODS

Subjects

Six healthy right-handed subjects (S1–S6), three females and three males (average age: 30.3 yr) underwent a complete physical examination and provided informed consent (World Medical Association 1997). Subjects were free of neurological or psychiatric illness and were compensated for participation in the study in accordance with the National Institute of Mental Health Institutional Review Board. Four additional subjects underwent psychophysical testing (1 subject participated in both psychophysical and MR testing).

Visual stimulus

The visual stimulus consisted of a central fixation cross (0.7° wide) that was always present and a small round target (0.4° diam) that was only present during movement epochs. A graphics board (Cambridge Research Systems, Cambridge, UK) was programmed to back-project the stimulus onto a Lucite tangent screen with a video projector (Sharp USA, Montclair, NJ). The tangent screen was 98 cm wide at a viewing distance of ~181 cm, for a maximum visual angle of 28°. Targets were presented in one of eight locations evenly spaced along the horizontal meridian, which spanned the entire tangent screen (4 in each hemifield; see Fig. 1 for illustration). Stimuli appeared in alternating hemifields to maximize the amplitude of eye, head, and gaze shifts and to equate the number of left and right movements in each scan series. Within a hemifield, the target position for each stimulus presentation was randomly chosen from one of the four possible locations (3.5, 7, 10.5, and 14°). Subjects made movements to each target as it appeared, with maximum movement amplitude of 28° (14° L or R to 14° R or L).

Types of movements

Subjects performed one of three different types of saccadic movement in response to the visually presented targets: eye movements to each target location with head stationary, head movements toward the target, and combined head and eye (gaze) movements toward target. Brain images were collected during task performance, allowing verification that head movements were performed during head- and gaze-movement conditions but not eye-movement conditions (black grid overlaid on brain illustrates motion; for quantification, see Fig. 2A). B: head and eye movements were monitored in a separate experimental session outside the scanner. Head position trace (black line) shows angle of horizontal rotation of the head (pitch angle). Eye position trace (red line) shows horizontal eye position. Sample traces demonstrate that subjects made head movements only during head- and gaze-movement tasks and that subjects made eye movements only during eye- and gaze-movement tasks. C: summary of eye-position data for an entire experimental session for 1 subject. White circles illustrate possible target locations (only 1 target was presented at a time); fixation crosshairs is located at center of display. Blue circles illustrate fixation position. For eye- and gaze-movement conditions, eyes moved to target positions when not fixating centrally. For head-movement condition, central fixation was sustained. D: summary of eye-position data across subjects (error bars represent SE) shown as percentage of time spent in central fixation. Significantly more time was spent in central fixation during head-movement condition, showing that subjects were able to suppress eye movements toward targets.
target while fixating centrally, and gaze movements (combined eye and head movements) toward the target. Subjects’ heads were unrestrained inside the MR head coil, and they were able to make the required in-plane head movements without difficulty by simply turning their head to the left or right.

**Head- and eye-movement measurement**

Commercially available eye-tracking systems are able to monitor eye movements in the MR scanner. However, these systems require that the head be stationary and are not capable of measuring head movements or combined eye and head movements. Therefore we measured eye and head movements outside the scanner using a video tracking system (Applied Science Laboratories, Bedford, MA).

**MR data acquisition**

Within each 18-s trial, subjects made brief saccadic movements for 3 s followed by a 15-s period in which they fixated centrally with their head still. In each 3-s movement epoch, three movements were made: a target appeared along the horizontal meridian and subjects moved toward it (1st movement); after 1 s, the target disappeared and reappeared in a new location along the horizontal meridian and subjects moved toward it (2nd movement); after another 1-s delay, the target disappeared and subjects fixated centrally (3rd movement). Each MR scan series contained 12 18-s trials. Before each scan series, subjects were cued by the experimenter to perform one of the three different types of movement (eye, head, or gaze). The scan series order was randomized within each subject’s experimental session. Subjects were instructed to return their head to the same position (nose facing up) following each movement epoch. Subjects performed 24 trials of each movement type (divided into 2 MR scan series) with three movements in each trial, for a total of 72 movements of each type per subject.

**Techniques for accounting for head motion in fMRI**

Because large voluntary head movements produce gross MRI artifacts that last for the duration of the movement, head movements interfere with fMRI. We use a method first described and validated by Birn et al. (1999) to overcome this obstacle (illustrated in Fig. 2). The method uses a slow event-related design in which subjects make a brief movement (0–5 s) followed by a long period of inactivity (~15 s). Blood oxygenation-level dependent (BOLD) fMRI measures hemodynamic changes that reach peak amplitude ~6–10 s after neuronal activity and returns to baseline within 15 s. Images collected during the movement (0–5 s) are contaminated by movement and are not used in the analysis. Images collected at 6–10 s (when the subject is stationary) are not contaminated by motion but reflect the underlying neural activity 6–10 s earlier i.e., when movements were being.

**FIG. 2.** Explanation of the event-related MRI methodology. Each movement trial consisted of a 3-s movement epoch (gray bars) followed by a 15-s window in which no movements were made and the slow hemodynamic response was observed. Graphs show representative data from single subjects. A: movement parameters (x-axis pitch for illustration) were estimated from the MR data using a volume registration algorithm. During the eye-movement scan series (orange line), no motion was observed during motion epochs (gray bars labeled “E”) or the intervening hemodynamic response windows. During the head-movement scan series (blue line), motion was observed during motion epochs (gray bars labeled “H”) but not during hemodynamic response windows. B: an MR time course from a single voxel shows stability when the subject made eye movements (gray “E” bars) but not during head movements (gray “H” bars). Both types of movement types evoked a slow hemodynamic response (intervals between gray bars). C: the MR time course from a single voxel averaged across all eye-movement trials and all head-movement trials. Note that the motion artifact peaks during the 3-s head-movement epoch and is not seen during the eye-movement epoch. The slow hemodynamic response evoked by both movement types peaks 6–9 s after the presentation of the first target. Vertical scale bars illustrate image intensity (in arbitrary MR units).
made. Movements collected during this interval can therefore be used to construct activation maps that show brain areas active during head movements. In effect, the Birn method reduces the very difficult problem of fMRI of rapid voluntary movements to the much simpler problem of fMRI of stationary subjects, a problem that has been effectively addressed in hundreds of fMRI studies.

As in other fMRI studies, subjects might move gradually during the fixation epoch, or they might make sudden movements (such as a sneeze) and not return to the same position as they were in previously. To address these problems, we used well-established techniques. First, brain volumes during the fixation epoch were registered to the first volume collected after the high-resolution anatomy using a least-squares algorithm (Cox and Jesmanowicz 1999). The motion-correction algorithm accurately measures and corrects the gradual head movements that occur, for example, when the foam padding underneath the subject’s head compresses or the subject slowly slides down the scanner bed (Fig. 2A). To further minimize the effects of motion on the activation map, the motion parameters calculated by the registration algorithm were used as regressors of no interest in the regression model along with a second-order polynomial to account for slow drifts in the MR signal caused, for example, by gradient coil heating. In an additional effort to eliminate any high-spatial frequency transients associated with motion, a spatial filter of root-mean-square width of 4 mm was applied to the echo-planar data. The effectiveness of these methods is illustrated by the concentrated, highly significant activation foci we observed (uncorrected head movement would tend to reduce the significance of activations and smear them out over a large spatial extent). Animations of actual fMRI data showing the head motion during movement epochs and the stability of cortical landmarks during fixation epochs can be viewed at http://jn.physiology.org/cgi/content/full/00988.2002/DC1.

Auditory and somatosensory stimulation

Our experimental hypothesis was that head movements would evoke activity in vestibular cortex. Head movements in a supine position could also evoke activity in auditory cortex if the movement of the head as it rested on the foam cushion inside the RF coil resulted in a volume transmit and receive coil that was used to provide whole head coverage. At the beginning of each scan session, a high-resolution anatomical scan was acquired (whole-brain T1-weighted spoiled-grass; 0.9375 × 0.9375-mm in-plane resolution, 1.2-mm slice thickness). Functional scan series were collected using a gradient-echo echo-planar sequence with a repetition time of 1.500 ms, an echo time of 30 ms and in-plane resolution 3.75 × 3.75 mm. Twenty-four axial slices with a thickness of 5 mm were collected to provide coverage of the entire cortex. 150 volumes were collected in each scan series, and the first six volumes in each series (collected before equilibrium magnetization was reached) were discarded.

Data analysis

Data were visualized and analyzed using AFNI (Cox 1996; Cox and Hyde 1997). Multiple regression was used to select voxels that demonstrated a neural-hemodynamic response to the movement (6- to 10-s latency and 10- to 15-s duration) and ignore voxels that demonstrated only a motion-artifact response (0-s latency, 3-s duration). The two brain volumes collected during each 3-s movement epoch were ignored in the analysis. These volumes were contaminated by movement during the head movement trials, and to prevent statistical bias, the corresponding data from eye-movement trials was also ignored (although it was not contaminated by movement; see also Techniques for accounting for head movement in fMRI).

Figure 2 illustrates an MR time course for a single trial of eye- and head-movement tasks. Regressors of interest were created to fit the expected long-latency, long-duration hemodynamic response using a gamma variate function $F(t) = b^c t^c e^{-bt}$, with $b = 8.6$, $c = 0.547$ (Cohen 1997). Three separate regressors of interest were used to independently model the activation due to each type of motion (eye, head, and gaze). Voxels were considered active if the total variance accounted for by the best-fit combination of the regressors of interest exceeded a conservatively chosen $F$ ratio of 9 ($P < 10^{-5}$ per voxel, uncorrected for multiple comparisons). This analysis detected brain regions active during any combination of movement type. After active voxels were detected, the responses within these voxels to different movement conditions were compared with a more liberal threshold ($P < 0.05$) to search for subtle differences between conditions. Active voxels with $P < 0.05$ for the contrast of eye movements versus head movements were considered head movement specific (blue color scale in figures), whereas voxels with $P > 0.05$ were considered nontypical (orange color scale in figures). Active voxels were interpolated to 1 mm$^3$ using a cubic interpolation algorithm and overlaid on each subject’s high-resolution anatomical scan before conversion to the standardized space of (Talairach and Tournoux 1988).

To examine the actual response to each type of movement (free of any assumptions about the shape of the response or the relative amplitude of the response across conditions), average MR time series were created from anatomically defined regions in each subject. An average MR time series was created from all active voxels in each region in each subject, and a mixed-effects model (with subject as the random factor) was used to perform statistics on the grand mean time series. To create the average activation maps shown in the figures, a fixed-effects approach was used (activation amplitude and nontypical values were averaged in each voxel in standardized space across subjects). Similar results were obtained using a random-effects analysis in which an ANOVA was performed on the amplitude of activation in each condition in each voxel across subjects (statistical values from the ANOVA are shown in Tables 1 and 2).

Volumes of interest (VOIs)

Examination of the individual subject activation maps and the group activation map revealed activity in a distributed cortical and subcortical network of brain areas during visually guided saccadic movements. To compare the response of these different regions to eye, head, and gaze movements, the MR response to each movement

MRI procedures

Subjects were examined using a 3 Tesla MR scanner (General Electric, Milwaukee, WI) with a volume transmit and receive coil that
TABLE 1. Brain areas active during visually guided movements (eye, head, or gaze), averaged across six subjects

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Volumes of Interest</th>
<th>Coordinates</th>
<th>Volume, mm³</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Precentral sulcus (superior)</td>
<td>t-Score</td>
<td>x  y  z</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>27.7</td>
<td>-26  -14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>32.7</td>
<td>-31  -8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Precentral sulcus (inferior)</td>
<td>21.6</td>
<td>-44  -4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>20.7</td>
<td>-47  -6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medial superior frontal gyrus</td>
<td>32.7</td>
<td>0  -6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intraparietal sulcus</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>-14  -74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>18.6</td>
<td>-22  -54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Precuneus</td>
<td>15.3</td>
<td>14  42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lateral occipital sulcus</td>
<td>23.2</td>
<td>-55  -59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>28.8</td>
<td>58  -51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caudate nucleus</td>
<td>26.1</td>
<td>-12  6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>16.3</td>
<td>15  3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lenticular nucleus</td>
<td>13.5</td>
<td>-22  -1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>12.4</td>
<td>24  -3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thalamus</td>
<td>20.4</td>
<td>-11  -16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>20.3</td>
<td>-9  -16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Superior colliculus</td>
<td>13.3</td>
<td>-7  -26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>17.8</td>
<td>-8  -26</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The first column is the location of anatomically defined volumes of interest (VOIs). The second column shows the peak t-statistic within each active region for the contrast movement versus rest obtained from an across-subjects voxel-wise ANOVA with 10 degrees of freedom (note that 32.7 represents the maximum calculable t-value). The third column lists the hemisphere (L, left; R, right) for VOIs on the lateral surface of the hemisphere and the subcortical VOIs. Activity in both hemisphere was grouped for medial VOIs. The fourth-sixth columns are (x, y, z) normalized Talairach coordinates of the center of mass of the activity in each VOI in millimeters from the anterior commissure. The seventh column lists the volume of cortex in each VOI that showed an overall experimental effect (visually guided saccadic movements vs. fixation). Data correspond to Fig. 3B.

RESULTS

Behavioral results

Figure 1 shows behavioral data collected inside and outside the MR scanner. Subjects were able to accurately perform each task that was averaged across the active voxels in each region in each subject. Then the response within each region was averaged across subjects. This analysis method allowed close inspection of the actual response to each movement type in different regions, while allowing for anatomical variability across subjects.

Anatomical landmarks for cortical and subcortical oculomotor control areas are well established (Beauchamp et al. 2001; Petit and Haxby 1999; Petit et al. 1993). For oculomotor control areas, 20 volumes of interest were manually traced on each subject’s high-resolution anatomical scan using that subject’s anatomical landmarks without reference to the functional data. Because relatively little is known about cortical areas important for head movements and vestibular processing, for these areas we used a post hoc approach of identifying areas that were consistently active during head movements (through examination of the group activation map) and then creating VOIs for these areas in each individual subject. In all cases, volumes of interest were not drawn on a single slice but were traced on multiple slices through the volume.

At the cortical level, two VOIs delineated bilateral precentral regions cortex encompassing the precentral gyrus and the precuneus sulcus (PreCS), including 5 mm on the anterior bank of the sulcus from the junction with the superior frontal sulcus to the lateral convexity. The VOI for inferior precentral cortex extended along the PreCS from 20 mm above the bicommissural plane (AC-PC) to 10 mm above the intersection of the PreCS with the inferior frontal sulcus. The VOI for superior precentral cortex extended superiorly along the remainder of the sulcus. The VOI delineating the dorsomedial part of the superior frontal gyrus consisted of 12 mm of cortex on each side of the interhemispheric fissure anterior to vertical plane passing through the posterior commissure (VPC) and extending forward to the anterior convexity. Its inferior limit corresponded to the cingulate sulcus in the posterior part and to the plane 45 mm above AC-PC in the anterior part. This inferior limit was chosen to delineate the medial part of Brodmann area 6 that contains both the supplementary motor area (SMA) and the SEF (Petit et al. 1998; Picard and Strick 1996). The VOI delineating intraparietal sulcus (IPS) included the cortex on both banks of the sulcus, namely both superior and inferior parietal lobules, from the junction with the postcentral sulcus to the posterior convexity. Its inferior limit corresponded to the plane 30 mm above the AC-PC plane and thus included the deepest part of the IPS. The VOI delineating bilateral regions at the lateral junction of the temporal and occipital cortex was centered on the junction of the ascending limb of the inferior temporal sulcus and the lateral occipital sulcus (LOS). Its anterior limit corresponded to the coronal plane 40 mm posterior to the plane passing through the anterior commissure (VAC) and extending backward to the coronal plane 85 mm posterior to the VAC. Its superior limit corresponded to the plane 12 mm above the AC-PC plane, and its inferior limit corresponded to the plane 4 mm below the AC-PC plane. This region was defined to include the area that is homologous to monkey MT/MST, also called V5 (Tootell and Taylor 1995; Watson et al. 1993; Zeki et al. 1991). The VOI delineating the precuneus consisted of 15 mm of parietal cortex on each side of the interhemispheric fissure, posterior to the marginal ramus of the cingulate sulcus and extended backward to the posterior convexity. Its inferior limit corresponded to the plane 30 mm above the AC-PC plane. The two last cortical VOIs delineated the medial part of the occipital cortex including both striate and extrastriate visual areas on both sides of AC-PC.

At the subcortical level, two VOIs delineated bilateral caudate nucleus including its head and its body. Two VOIs delineated bilateral lenticular nuclei including both the putamen and the globus pallidus. Two VOIs delineated bilateral thalamus and the two last corresponded to the superior colliculus (SC). This later contained all visible SC tissue, while excluding as much of the surrounding fluid and non-SC tissue as possible.

TABLE 2. Brain areas significantly more active during head movements than eye movements, averaged across six subjects

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Volumes of Interest</th>
<th>Coordinates</th>
<th>Volume, mm³</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Perisylvian region</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>-44  -31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>44  -33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postcentral sulcus</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>-19  -33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>21  -29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paracentral lobule</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>4  -17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supramarginal gyrus</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>47  -21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Superior parietal gyrus</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>26  -44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cuneus</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>11  -83</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The first column is the location of anatomically defined VOIs. The second column shows the peak t-statistic within each active region for the contrast head or gaze movements versus eye movements obtained from a voxel-wise ANOVA with 10 degrees of freedom. The third column lists the hemisphere (L, left; R, right) for VOIs on the lateral surface of the hemisphere and the subcortical VOIs. Activity in both hemisphere was grouped for medial VOIs. The fourth-sixth columns are (x, y, z) normalized Talairach coordinates of the center of mass of the activity in each VOI, in mm from the anterior commissure. The seventh column lists the volume of cortex in each VOI that showed a significant effect of the contrast head or gaze movements vs. eye movements. Data correspond to Fig. 3B.
of the three tasks, suppressing head movements during the eye-movement condition while tracking the target with the head during head- and gaze-movement conditions. During the head-movement condition, subjects were able to fixate centrally while moving their head toward the target (Fig. 1C).

Overall eye-, head-, and gaze-movement-related activity

Voxels exceeding the significance threshold for the main effect of interest (experimental conditions vs. central fixation) were first overlaid on each subject’s anatomical images. This revealed brain areas significantly active during the three movement types (eye movements to each target location, with head stationary; head movements toward the target while fixating centrally; and combined eye and head movements toward the target, i.e., gaze movements). A similar broadly distributed set of active brain areas was observed in each subject (data from subject S3 depicted in Fig. 3A; group activation map in Fig. 3B). On the lateral surface of the hemisphere, activity was observed in PreCS, IPS, and LOS. On the medial surface, activity was observed in the medial part of the superior frontal gyrus and in precuneus as well as in medial occipital cortex. At the subcortical level, activity was observed in both caudate and lenticular nucleus, the thalamus and the superior colliculus.

To allow quantitative comparisons across subjects, 20 anatomically defined VOI were manually traced in each subject. For each subject, voxels within each VOI that showed a significant response to the main effect of interest (eye, head, and gaze movements vs. central fixation) were grouped ($P < 10^{-12}$ corrected). This allowed the calculation of the volume and Talairach coordinates of activity in each VOI in each subject. Table 1 lists these values, averaged across subjects. There was no significant difference between hemispheres in volume of active regions but the IPS. In the IPS, there was 40% more active cortex in right hemisphere than in left hemisphere ($P = 0.02$).

**FIG. 3.** A: movement related activity (colored regions) from an individual subject (S3) superimposed onto that subject’s anatomical dataset (grayscale). Orange color scale shows voxels with an overall experimental effect ($P < 10^{-5}$) but showing no significant difference between eye and head movement conditions ($P > 0.005$). From top to bottom, 4 transaxial slices from superior to inferior in standard space (Talairach coordinates below each slice) with anatomical labels (sup., superior; PreCS, precentral sulcus; inf., inferior; n., nucleus; lat. occ. s., lateral occipital sulcus). B: movement-related brain areas in an average activation map from 6 subjects overlaid on the average anatomical dataset from the same subjects (color scale as in A). Summary of activation in Table 1. Insets: the average MR time series from all active voxels in each volume of interest in left hemisphere (left; labeled) and right hemisphere (right). Solid graph line indicates grand mean across subjects, dashed lines indicates mean ± SE. Insets: each graph shows the response to 3 movement types: eye movements only; head movements only; and combined eye and head movements, respectively (labeled at top of column). Each movement trial consisted of a 3-second movement epoch (gray bars) followed by a 15-s window in which no movements were made and the slow hemodynamic response was observed. Right is left in all slices.
To examine the response to eye, head, or gaze movements, an average MR time series across subjects was created for each VOI for each type of saccadic movements. When subjects made saccadic eye, head, or gaze movements, an event-related MR response was observed (Fig. 3B). In each VOI, similar high-amplitude responses were observed for head movements and for gaze movements; slightly smaller responses were evoked in each VOI for eye movements alone. This effect (head/gaze > eye) was largest in the medial superior frontal region (0.86 vs. 0.58%, paired t-test, P = 0.004), the left superior (0.74 vs. 0.59%, P = 0.04), and inferior (0.61 vs. 0.50%, P = 0.04) PreCS, in the precuneus (0.62 vs. 0.37%, P = 0.007) and the right LOS (0.51 vs. 0.39%, P = 0.04). The effect was smaller in the right superior (0.81 vs. 0.62%, P = 0.06) and inferior (0.62 vs. 0.44%, P = 0.1) PreCS, bilaterally in IPS (left: P = 0.1; right: P = 0.09) and in the left LOS (P = 0.4). There was no significant difference in the response amplitude for gaze movements and head movements.

Although the SC is known to play a key role in eye-head coordination, it has not been observed consistently in previous imaging studies. As shown in Fig. 4A, we observed collicular activation in each subject. In contrast to the pattern of activity observed in cortical regions (head/gaze significantly greater than eye movements), the SC showed no significant difference between the response to head/gaze movements and eye movements, and a trend was observed in the opposite direction (greater response to gaze movements).

Activity was also observed in brain stem regions inferior to...
the SC, possibly corresponding to other nuclei important in the control of eye and head movements, such as the pontine reticular formation. However, the small size and lack of anatomical distinction of these areas makes it difficult to draw conclusions about the observed activity (see DISCUSSION).

Specific head- and gaze-movement-related activity

All of the brain areas active during eye movements were also active during head and gaze movements. However, an additional set of areas that responded strongly when subjects executed head or gaze movements but showed little or no response when subject made eye movements (single subject map in Fig. 5A, group map in 5B).

The largest volume of head-movement-related activity was observed in the perisylvian region (volumes and coordinates of head movement related activity in Table 2). All subjects showed activation centered posterior to the Heschl’s gyrus, including the posterior part of the planum temporale. As illustrated in Fig. 6 for a representative individual subject (S2), the perisylvian activation extended upward to the temporoparietal junction at the level of the parietal operculum and the bottom of the supramarginal gyrus. In addition, a distinct and more superior activation was observed in the supramarginal gyrus in all subjects in the right hemisphere (Figs. 5 and 6). Vestibular activation was located posterior, superior, and medial to auditory cortex (Fig. 7A).

Bilateral head movement related activity was also observed in the postcentral gyrus (PostCS) and on the medial surface of the hemisphere in the paracentral lobule. PostCS activation during head movements overlapped with regions responding to somatosensory stimulation of the head and neck (Fig. 7B). Right-lateralized activation was found in the supramarginal gyrus, the superior parietal gyrus, and the right cuneus. No activation was observed individually or in the group average map in the insula. No areas responded to gaze movements but not head movements or vice versa.

To better understand the response of the head-movement-related areas, average MR time series were created for each area from voxels exceeding a significance threshold for head movements ($P < 10^{-5}$ corrected) in the group activation map (Fig. 5B). All areas except the cuneus showed similar, large-amplitude responses to head and gaze movements and little or no response to eye movements. The cuneus showed a response to eye movements, but it was of smaller amplitude than the response for gaze movements ($P = 0.03$).

DISCUSSION

Our results demonstrate that event-related fMRI can be used to study the neural basis of visually guided head movements. Head movements are subserved by a network that shares many common areas with eye movements, including the FEFs and the SC. In addition to oculomotor control areas, head movements also activated areas important for vestibular processing, including PIVC. This PIVC activity provides the first evidence for brain activity associated with vestibular input produced by natural head movements as opposed to invasive caloric and/or galvanic vestibular stimulation.

Overall eye-, head-, and gaze-movement-related activity

Head and gaze movements resulted in activations in a set of regions that previous imaging studies have shown to be crucial...

---

**FIG. 6.** Brain areas showing an overall experimental effect ($P < 10^{-5}$) with increased activity during head movements but not during eye movements ($P < 0.005$). A: data from a single subject (S2) show a large activation in parieto-insular vestibular cortex (PIVC). Insets: average time series from active voxels within the PIVC. Also note medial occipital (cuneus) activation visible posteriorly in both axial slices (see Fig. 5 and DISCUSSION for details). B: group data showing head-movement-related activity observed around the posterior insula in the average activation map from the present study (blue voxels) and PIVC-related activations (white lines) described in previous PET and fMRI studies using invasive vestibular stimulation (Bottini et al. 1994; Dieterich et al. 1998; Lobel et al. 1998; Bense et al. 2001; Bottini et al. 2001; Deutschländer et al. 2002). Red contours show the limit of previously reported PIVC activations while the white dots and bars show the mean ± SD coordinates [Left: $x = -44 ± 10, y = -27 ± 12, z = 12 ± 6; right: x = 38 ± 6, y = -18 ± 13, z = 11 ± 7$ (mm)]. All activations were superimposed on the SPM single subject MNI stereotactic brain.
for oculomotor control. These included PreCS, the medial part of the SEF, the IPS and precuneus, and the LOS. The current findings provide the first functional imaging evidence that such cortical eye fields also subserve visually guided head and gaze movements. These results are consistent with reports from studies of human patients and nonhuman primates on the tight neural linkage between the neural machinery for eye and head movements. Patient AI suffers from congenital abnormalities of the extraocular muscles, resulting in total ophthalmoplegia. However, her visual behavior is relatively unimpaired because she is able to make head-movement saccades that are qualitatively similar to eye-movement saccades in normal subjects, suggesting that common neural mechanisms are responsible for both types of motions (Gilchrist et al. 1998). While cortical areas for oculomotor control were active to a similar degree for eye and head movements in the present experiment, there are important differences between eye and head movements: for example, the head has a large inertia and signals to initiate head motion must be very different from those to guide eye movements. Likewise, feedback signals from either vestibular or stretch receptors are necessary and important in controlling head motion but not eye motion. These neural differences between eye and head movements may occur largely in brain stem nuclei (difficult to image with fMRI); they may exist in cortex but at a smaller spatial scale (~4 mm) than imaged in the present study; or they may simply be too subtle to observe with fMRI.

In nonhuman primates, stimulation of SEF often produces gaze-shifts involving movements of both the head and the eyes (Sparks et al. 2001). Neurons coding head and gaze position have been described in a number of regions of parietal cortex (reviewed in Colby and Goldberg 1999) including area LIP (Brotchie et al. 1995; Snyder et al. 1998) and area MP (Thier and Andersen 1998), which may be analogous to the IPS and precuneus activations observed in our study and in previous neuroimaging studies of oculomotor control. Neurons in the MT/MST complex respond to eye movements and show responses to vestibular-canal stimulation and optokinetic nystagmus (Bremmer et al. 1997; Ilg and Hoffmann 1993; Thier and Erickson 1992) related to the rate at which the head turns (Andersen et al. 1999).
A previous study described two distinct foci of activation along the PreCS for overt and covert shifts of visuospatial attention (Beauchamp et al. 2001). The present findings extend these results, with both dorsal and ventral foci showing activity during head movements (as shown in Fig. 3A). The first focus lies in the superior part of the PreCS at the junction with the superior frontal sulcus. The second focus lies along the inferior part of the PreCS at the junction with the inferior frontal sulcus. The superior PreCS focus is thought to contain the homologue of monkey FEF, known to be responsive to execution of both saccadic and pursuit eye movements (Beauchamp et al. 2001; Berman et al. 1999; Paus 1996; Peralta et al. 1998; Petit and Haxby 1999; Rosano et al. 2001). The more inferior focus has less certain homology, although evidence from nonhuman primates shows several regions of eye-movement producing cortex near the FEF (Fuji et al. 2000; Preuss et al. 1996). In the majority of nonhuman primate studies, the head is held fixed by a head post, meaning that no information can be gathered about the involvement of monkey FEF in head movements. However, recent experiments in monkeys with unrestrained heads show changes in eye, head, and gaze position produced by microstimulation of FEF (Sparks et al. 2001; Tu and Keating 2000), supporting the general contribution of the FEF to coordinated eye and head movements.

Performing eye, head, and gaze movements also leads to robust increases in multiple subcortical areas including basal ganglia, thalamus and SC. Previous PET studies of saccadic eye movements demonstrated a consistent involvement of subcortical structures such as the lenticular nucleus and the thalamus in self-paced (Lang et al. 1994; Law et al. 1998; Petit et al. 1993) and visually and memory-guided saccadic eye movements (Petit et al. 1996). Oculomotor activation of these structures has been more difficult to detect using fMRI, perhaps due to susceptibility effects and less dense vascularization. In the present study, we observed activity in every subject in basal ganglia, thalamus and superior colliculus, perhaps due to the high-field strength (3 Tesla) and event-related design used. To date, our findings represent the first demonstration that human superior colliculus is active during eye, head, and gaze movements.

Specific head- and gaze-movement-related activity

In bilateral perisylvian cortex, responses were observed during head and gaze movement trials but not during eye movements (Figs. 5 and 6). In monkeys, the core vestibular region (primary vestibular cortex) is located in the retroinsular cortex and has been labeled the PIVC. PIVC receives projections from brain stem vestibular nuclei and contains neurons with response properties selective for vestibular stimulation (review in Guldin and Grüsser 1998). Although the homology between monkeys and humans in this region of cortex is especially uncertain, studies of patients (Brandt and Dieterich 1999; Brandt et al. 1994) and functional neuroimaging of invasive vestibular stimulation (reviews in Dieterich and Brandt 2000; Paulesu et al. 1997) suggest that the human homologue of PIVC lies in a perisylvian region including the posterior insula and retroinsular cortex (see Fig. 1 in Bense et al. 2001 for insula anatomy). To better ascertain the location of vestibular cortex in humans, we performed a meta-analysis of neuroimaging studies that have reported vestibular activation (Bense et al. 2001; Bottini et al. 1994, 2001; Deutschländer et al. 2002; Dieterich et al. 1998; Lobel et al. 1998). As shown in Figs. 6 and 7, these activations consistently lie in the posterior end of the Sylvian fissure, posterior to auditory cortex. The mean location from the meta-analysis was not significantly different from the perisylvian activation observed in the present study. This finding confirms that posterior Sylvian cortex contains core vestibular cortex (the human homologue of PIVC) and provides the first evidence of PIVC activation for vestibular input produced by natural head movements.

Head and gaze movements (but not eye movements) also activated bilateral regions of the PostCS and the paracentral lobule. Right-lateralized activations were observed in the supramarginal gyrus, the superior parietal gyrus. In dorsal occipital cortex, the cuneus showed strong head/gaze-movement-related activity and a weaker eye-movement response. This region lies near cortex assigned to visual areas V3A and V7, suggesting that these dorsal visual areas (or adjacent areas) are important for coupling visual stimuli with eye, head, and gaze shifts.

Our data also suggest fruitful directions for a understanding of possible homologies between vestibular regions in monkey (review in Guldin and Grüsser 1998) and human cortex (Dieterich and Brandt 2000; Paulesu et al. 1997).

First we consider the large PostCS activation observed near the location of primary somatosensory cortex (SI) that extends from near the central sulcus to the tip of the intraparietal sulcus (Fig. 5). This is consistent with vestibular activation observed in previous studies (Bottini et al. 1994 2001) and may be related to an area in the monkey central sulcus (area 3aV) that receives vestibular inputs (Paulesu et al. 1997). A second likely source of this activity is somatosensory feedback from the neck and back of the head during supine head movements, as the neck brushes against the foam pillow. Support for this idea is shown in Fig. 7B, which illustrates overlap between brain areas active during head movements and areas active during tactile stimulation of the back of the head and neck.

A second region of head/gaze movement related activity was observed in the supramarginal gyrus (Brodmann area 40, BA40). This activation is also consistent with that observed during invasive vestibular stimulation (Bense et al. 2001; Bottini et al. 2001; Dieterich and Brandt 2000) and may correspond to the monkey vestibular field located in area 7b of inferior parietal cortex (Faugier-Grimaud and Ventre 1989).

Subcortical activity

Eye and head movements are controlled by a complex network of cortical and subcortical areas. As have all previous fMRI studies of eye movements, the present study examined the cortical network for eye and head movements. However, we saw consistent activity in the regions of the SC. We also saw activity in other brain stem regions, which may include contributions from other nuclei important in the control of eye and head movements, such as the pontine reticular formation, the interstitial nucleus of Cajal, and the cuneiform nucleus. Comprehensive examination of the brain stem eye-movement control nuclei using fMRI requires new developments in three parallel areas: anatomical identification of these structures in vivo based on new advances in pulse sequences (Tuch et al.
Vestibuloocular reflex

The vestibuloocular reflex (VOR) serves to keep the visual image still by adjusting the position of the eye in the orbit to compensate for head movements (Carpenter 1988). Brain stem nuclei are the primary coordinators of the VOR, although the cerebellar flocculus serves to modulate the reflex, as do descending projections from cortical eye-movement control areas, both directly and via the SC and the basal ganglia. In the head-movement condition of the present experiment, the VOR would be active, stabilizing the fixation crosshair at the center of gaze despite the head movement toward the target. In the gaze-movement condition, the VOR would be suppressed, as the eyes and head both move toward the target.

Relationship between neuronal responses and the fMRI signal

While we observed a consistent relationship between eye and head movements and the MR signal from cortical and subcortical brain regions, the exact contributions of different neuronal populations (such as pyramidal motor neurons vs. inhibitory interneurons) to the MR response is unknown. The BOLD fMRI signal is an indirect measure of neuronal activity, reflecting the summed metabolic activity of many neurons filtered by the vasculature. Simultaneous recording of local field potential, multiunit action potentials, and the BOLD fMRI signal may reflect intracortical metabolism and synaptic input, as do deconvolved BOLD images (Logothetis et al. 2001) with parametric increases in the BOLD signal from cortical and subcortical structures related with vestibulo-ocular interaction. We are grateful to Dr. James V. Haxby for many contributions to the research. We also thank K. Lee and S. Marrett for assistance.
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